COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No.

O'MALLEY AND FIRESTONE 919 SOUTH HARRISON STREET SUITE 210 FORT WAYNE IN 46802

COPY MAILED

AUG 1 1 2008

In re Application of

Bradford B. Jensen et al.

Application No. 10/077,010

Patent No. 6,992,591 : DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: February 15, 2002 : PURSUANT TO

Issue Date: January 31, 2006 : 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c)

Attorney Docket No. JENES-01003

Title: MARKER LIGHTS FOR

WIRELESS DOORBELL TRANSMITTERS

AND OTHER DEVICES

This is a notice regarding your request for acceptance of a fee deficiency submission pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.28, received on April 30, 2008. On September 1, 1998, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c) is the sole provision governing the time for correction of the erroneous payment of the issue fee as a small entity. See DH Technology v. Synergystex International, Inc. 154 F.3d 1333, 47 USPQ2d 1865 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 1, 1998).

The Office no longer investigates or rejects original or reissue applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. 1098 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 502 (January 3, 1989). Therefore, nothing in this notice is intended to imply that an investigation was done.

Your fee deficiency submission pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c) is hereby accepted. The petition is GRANTED accordingly.

Application No. 10/077,010

Patent No. 6,992,591

Decision on Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(c)

This patent is no longer entitled to small entity status. Accordingly, all future fees paid in this patent must be paid at the large entity rate.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225¹.

/Paul Shanoski/
Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's further action(s).